

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2010-09-16
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-02-22
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-22
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-22
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-02-22
Date of Last Revision: 2012-02-22

Agency: 012 - Department of Labor **Bureau:** 25 - Departmental Management

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: OASAM - Acquisition Management System (AMS)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 012-000003655

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The DOL OASAM - Acquisition Management System (AMS) funds an investment to design, configure, implement, operate, administer, and maintain (OA&M) a secured cloud computing based Commercial Off The Shelf (COTS) electronic and automated acquisition management system. AMS will provide efficient and transparent procurement management capabilities for the acquisition of goods and services across the full acquisition life cycle from planning to contract closeout that is consistent with public law, Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) policies and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) mandates, and key business and performance requirements for the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) to achieve its strategic mission. The secure cloud computing based system enables DOL to focus on managing its Acquisition processes rather than its Acquisition system and related technologies, avoiding the costs associated with updating and supporting its legacy Electronic Procurement System (EPS), and improving the responsiveness of its procurement organization. This investment benefits the public by enabling DOL to support delivery of essential mission-driven citizen services through accountable and transparent procurement of a wide array of products and services in order to achieve its strategic goals. The AMS will fully integrate with the existing DOL internal systems including New Core Financial Management System (NCFMS) and Electronic Grants Management System (e-Grants), new DOL systems such as the Contractor Personnel System (CPS) and Identity and Access Management (IAM), and external Systems

for Award Management (SAM) formerly known as Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE) Systems.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

Following OMB strategic guidance on evaluating cloud computing alternative pursuant to the BY12 budget process as well as, the new directives from United States Chief Information Officer in his 25 Point Implementation Plan, plus OMB Director's Memorandum on Chief Information Officer Authorities, released on August 11, 2011, DOL is pursuing a cloud computing based solution to replace its existing legacy Electronic Procurement System (EPS). The current legacy Electronic Procurement System (EPS) integrates with only two of the eight Systems for Award Management (SAM) component systems. It consists of two different COTS products (for Requisitions and Contracts) provided by two different vendors, hence not providing DOL with a single accountability for resolving technical or help desk issues and addressing new requirements while it is increasingly prone to errors and failures. There are also significant interface failures between EPS with DOL Grants Management System and New Core Financial Management System (NCFMS) resulting in financial data not being captured properly in NCFMS causing Lapse of Funds Issues. Dramatic increases in rework and errors in the documents and Government-wide reporting makes the EPS investment benefits no longer viable. Successful closure of these major performance gaps is the primary goal of this investment. In addition, AMS is aiming for a significant increase in system's availability and user satisfaction as well as a decrease in average number of help desk calls per users. Furthermore, the system security vulnerabilities are to be reduced by a significant amount. If the investment does not get fully funded, then most likely some or all of these gaps will stay open and as is.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

* Completed the development of the preliminary set of requirements. * Completed the development of the Request for Quote (RFQ). * Completed the technical and cost evaluation of the proposals. * Completed the development and update of the SDLC documents for SDLC phase 1 and 2, including: Project Charter, Statement of Concept, Cost Benefit Analysis, Risk Management Plan, Project Management Plan, Risk Register, Acquisition Plan, and Quality Management Plan.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

The following accomplishments are planned using \$2.0M of PY11 carry-over funds plus \$7.0M of CY12 funds plus \$2.0M of BY13 funds: * Conduct Post-Award Integrated Baseline Review with the selected vendor. * Complete the set up and configuration of the development environment. * Conduct detailed requirements and gap analysis and resolve issues to close identified gaps. * Conduct data migration activities and assessment. * Complete set up and configuration of the training environment. The training environment will be converted later to a test environment. * Conduct Change Management and Business Process Engineering

Analysis. * Complete development of Test Plan, Test Cases, and Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM). * Receive Security Authority to Operate (ATO) for both QA & Production environments. * Conduct Parallel Testing and make a go/no-go decision on production roll-out. * Conduct training in the national office (FPB). * Conduct training in the regions. * Complete production implementation and roll-out. * Provide post production support. * Begin Operations and Maintenance. * Conduct Post Implementation Review (PIR).

5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2011-04-05

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

| | PY-1 & Prior | PY 2011 | CY 2012 | BY 2013 |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Planning Costs: | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 |
| DME (Excluding Planning) Costs: | \$2.0 | \$2.0 | \$7.0 | \$0.0 |
| DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs: | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | \$0.0 |
| Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE): | \$2.1 | \$2.1 | \$7.1 | 0 |
| O & M Costs: | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$2.0 |
| O & M Govt. FTEs: | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.1 |
| Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE): | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$2.1 |
| Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE): | \$2.1 | \$2.1 | \$7.1 | \$2.1 |
| Total Govt. FTE costs: | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | \$0.1 |
| # of FTE rep by costs: | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$) | | \$-6.1 | \$5.0 | |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%) | | -74.00% | 232.00% | |

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

The DOL AMS project has been delayed by 8 months due to a multiple pre-award and post-award industry protests. It was initially estimated to require \$8.2M in FY11 and \$2.1 in FY12; however due to the delays, the funding levels have changed from the FY2012 President's Budget request.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

| Contract Type | EVM Required | Contracting Agency ID | Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID) | Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID | IDV Agency ID | Solicitation ID | Ultimate Contract Value (\$M) | Type | PBSA ? | Effective Date | Actual or Expected End Date |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------|--------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Awarded | | DOLF11963227 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Awarded | | DOLB10963018 8 | | | | | | | | | |

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Earned value is not a contract requirement for the contract with PIID number: DOLB109630188, because it is a labor-hour task order to provide DOL Information Technology Center (ITC) with IT Governance and Project Management Office support services.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-02-22

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects

| Project ID | Project Name | Project Description | Project Start Date | Project Completion Date | Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M) |
|------------|--|--|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| 365501 | DOL Acquisition Management System (AMS) Development and Implementation | Acquisition Management System (AMS) migrates DOL's Procurement information system to a secure shared cost-effective vendor-hosted environment to replace its existing internally supported solution and close existing procurement process performance gaps. | | | |

Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

| Project ID | Name | Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M) | End Point Schedule Variance (in days) | End Point Schedule Variance (%) | Cost Variance (\$M) | Cost Variance (%) | Total Planned Cost (\$M) | Count of Activities |
|------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 365501 | DOL Acquisition Management System (AMS) Development and Implementation | | | | | | | |

Key Deliverables

| Project Name | Activity Name | Description | Planned Completion | Projected | Actual Completion | Duration | Schedule Variance | Schedule Variance |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|
|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|

Key Deliverables

| Project Name | Activity Name | Description | Planned Completion Date | Projected Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Duration (in days) | Schedule Variance (in days) | Schedule Variance (%) |
|--------------|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Date | Completion Date | Date | (in days) | (in days) | (%) |
| 365501 | Pre-award activities and contract award | Pre-award activities and contract award | 2011-08-12 | 2011-08-12 | 2011-08-12 | 424 | 0 | 0.00% |

Section C: Operational Data

| Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|--|-----------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Metric Description | Unit of Measure | FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping | Measurement Condition | Baseline | Target for PY | Actual for PY | Target for CY | Reporting Frequency |

NONE